

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,703	10/22/2003	Hiroko Mogi	244211US6	4653
	7590 02/29/2008 AK MCCLELLAND N	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			TRAN, NHAN T	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
		·	<u></u>	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

); '		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, ,		10/689,703	MOGI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Nhan T. Tran	2622		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 L	December 2007 and 29 Oc	tober 2007.		
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•		
5) □ 6) ፟ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	over election requirement.			
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•			
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		·		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage		
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 4) 🗌 Interview (Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number:

10/689,703 Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/2007 with respect to claims 1-4, 10-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/29/2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 10-12 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachmann (DE 37 10111) in view of Gelbard (US 6,927,797).

Regarding claim 1, Bachmann discloses a video camera (television camera shown in Fig. 1 and abstract) comprising:

a camera body (1) to image an object;

a mounting member (7) removably installable (attachable to the camera body 1 as disclosed in the title and abstract) on the camera body;

a viewfinder (3);

a first arm member (5) attached to said mounting member at a first end (8) and attached to said viewfinder at a second end (9) to be adjacent to a side of said camera body (see Fig. 1), said first arm member (5) being pivotably rotatable about said mounting member at said first end (8) and being pivotably rotatable about said viewfinder at said second end (9); and said viewfinder being removably installed (attachable) on the first arm member to display an image picked up by the camera body, the viewfinder including a display unit (inherent display unit in such an electronic viewfinder of the television camera) to display an image thereon (see Fig. 1 and abstract).

Although Bachmann discloses the first arm member as discussed above,

Bachmann is just silent as to the first arm member extending toward a back of said

camera from the mounting member.

However, Gelbard teaches a video camera having a viewfinder (41 in Fig. 4) attached to an arm member (60) which is extended from a mounting member (24) toward the back of the camera (see Figs. 1 & 4) so as to increase versatility and usability of the viewfinder as suggested by Gelbard in col. 1, lines 4-8 and col. 4, lines 29-57.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the television camera in Bachmann such that the

10/689,703

Art Unit: 2622

first arm member would be configured to extend toward the back of the camera from the mounting the member so as to increase versatility and usability of the viewfinder as suggested by Gelbard above.

Regarding claim 2, it is also seen in Bachmann that the display unit (inside viewfinder 3) is removably installed (attachable) to the first arm member (see title and abstract).

Regarding claim 3, Gelbard further discloses that the camera body is provided with an accessory-part fixture (video input port at 126 or slot member 118 shown in Fig. 1 of Gelbard) for fixing an accessory part to the video camera; and the display unit is removably installable to the accessory-part fixture (see Gelbard, Figs. 1, 2 & 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further combine Bachmann and Gelbard for an accessory-part fixture for fixing an accessory part to the camera so that the viewfinder (display unit) and its associated electrical components would be removably attached easily.

Regarding claim 4, Bachmann in view of Gelbard further discloses that at least either the accessory-part fixture or display unit has an auxiliary screw provided thereon (see Gelbard, Figs. 1, 6 & 8).

Regarding claim 10, Bachman also discloses that the video camera further comprises a fulcrum member (8) connected to said mounting member; said first arm member (5) being pivotable about said fulcrum member at said first end.

Regarding claim 11, the combined teaching of Bachmann and Gelbard also discloses a bracket (clamp bracket 96 shown in Fig. 8 of Gelbard) connected to said viewfinder and longitudinally slidable along said first arm (see Gelbard, col. 5, line 64 – col. 6, line 1, wherein the user can slide the clamp bracket 96 to clamp the viewfinder 38 to a desired position).

Regarding claim 12, it is also clearly seen in Fig. 1 of Bachmann that the first arm member (5) has a bar shape.

Regarding claim 17, Bachmann further discloses, in Fig. 1, a fulcrum member (9) connected to said viewfinder (3); said viewfinder being pivotable about said fulcrum member at said second end.

Regarding claim 18, it is also seen in Fig. 1 of Bachmann a first fulcrum member (8) connected to said mounting member (7), said first arm member (5) being pivotable about said first fulcrum member at said first end about a first axis (axis 8); and a second fulcrum member (9) connected to said viewfinder (3); said first arm member being pivotable about said second fulcrum member at said second end about a second axis

(axis 9) substantially parallel to said first axis (axes 7 and 9 are always parallel at a separated distance of the length of first arm member 5).

Regarding claim 19, this claim is also met by the analysis of claim 18.

5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachmann and Gelbard as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Yamada Kazuo (JP 10-191118 in the IDS filed 12/17/2003).

Regarding claim 13, Bachmann and Gelbard do not teach a second arm member having a first end pivotably mounted on said viewfinder and a second end adapted to be connected to a tripod.

However, it is well recognized by Kazuo that the extended viewfinder of a video camera is supported by a second arm member (19) that is connected to a tripod (10) so as to maintain the viewfinder at a constant height when the video camera is titled downward on a tripod (see Figs. 1 & 3 and abstract and paragraph [0029]; it should be noted in Kazuo that the position of the viewfinder is independent from the camera posture to maintain the eyepiece 13 at a substantially constant position even when the camera is tilted downward).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Bachmann, Gelbard and Kazuo to construct a second arm member having a first end pivotably mounted on said viewfinder and a second end

Application/Control Number:

10/689,703 Art Unit: 2622

adapted to be connected to a tripod so that the eyepiece of the viewfinder is maintained at a constant height when the video camera is titled downward on a tripod to minimize unnecessary head movement of the photographer/user as suggested by Kazuo.

Regarding claim 14, it is also seen in Kazuo that the second arm member has a bar shape (e.g., a cylinder bar shape shown in Figs. 1 & 3).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Bachmann, Gelbard and Kazuo also teaches that the second arm member is configured to have a telescopic operation (see Fig. 4 in Kazuo).

Regarding claim 16, this claim is also met by the analysis of claim 13.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

10/689,703

Art Unit: 2622

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NHAN T. TRAN
Primary Examiner